UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,296	06/10/2005	Minehiro Tonosaki	270749US6PCT	3462
22850 7590 12/14/2010 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			LEO, LEONARD R	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3785	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/14/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Occurrence	10/538,296	TONOSAKI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Leonard R. Leo	3785			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 Oc</u>	ctober 2010.				
	action is non-final.				
· <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
		0 0.0. 2.0.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 12,13,16 and 17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 12,16 and 17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/10.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	ite			

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed on October 13, 2010 has been entered. Claims 12-13 and 16-17 are pending, and claim 13 remains withdrawn from further consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nelson et al in view of Steele et al or Mochida et al, further in view of Sugito.

Nelson et al (Figure 2) discloses a heat transport device comprising a first base plate 305B including a liquid suction and retention unit 330, a body 335 with protrusions, a second base plate 305C composed of polyimide including a first concavity 315B, a second concavity 315A, a first ditch 320A forming a channel between the first concavity 315B and the second concavity 315A, and a second ditch 320B forming a channel between the second concavity 315A and the liquid suction and retention unit 330; wherein the base plates are glued together, but does not disclose a resin bonding material, the first base plate covered with a protective film, nor a third concavity on the second base plate.

Steele et al discloses a heat exchanger comprising a heat transfer surface and a protective coating of silicate for the purpose of providing microbial growth inhibition and improving wetting and wicking properties to improve heat transfer (abstract, column 3, lines 35-40 and 55-60).

Uchida et al discloses a heat exchanger comprising a heat transfer surface and a protective coating of silicon dioxide for the purpose of improving corrosion resistance and enhancing wetting and wicking properties to improve heat transfer (column 7, lines 7-11).

Sugito (Figures 1-4) discloses a heat transport device comprising a liquefaction chamber 4 and a stack of base plates 9A-9E defining a combined vaporization chamber/gas-phase working fluid channel 2b and a liquid-phase working fluid channel 2c separated by a concavity 5a for the purpose of improving fluid circulation and heat transfer by preventing the transfer of heat between the gas and liquid working fluid channels (column 4, line 64 to column 5, line 12).

Since Nelson et al and Steele et al or Uchida et al are both from the same field of endeavor and/or analogous art, the purpose disclosed by Steele et al or Uchida et al would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Nelson et al.

Since Nelson et al and Sugito are both from the same field of endeavor and/or analogous art, the purpose disclosed by Sugito would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Nelson et al.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to employ in Nelson et al a protective coating of silicon dioxide or silicate for the purpose of improving wetting and wicking properties to improve heat transfer and providing microbial growth inhibition or improving corrosion resistance as recognized by Steele et al or Uchida et al, and employ in Nelson et al a concavity in one of the base plates for the purpose of improving fluid circulation and heat transfer by preventing the transfer of heat between the gas and liquid working fluid channels as recognized by Sugito. Further with respect to the modification in view of Steele et al or Uchida et al, it would have been obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art to apply a known technique to a known device ready for improvement to yield predictable results. *KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.*, 82 USPQ2d 1385, 1396 (2007).

The Examiner takes Official Notice of resin material for its use in the bonding art and the selection of any known equivalent to bond two structures together would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art. Furthermore, it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Claims 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nelson et al in view of Steele et al or Mochida et al, further in view of Sugito as applied to claim 12 above, and further in view of Newton et al.

The combined teachings of Nelson et al, Steele et al or Mochida et al, and Sugito lacks a fourth concavity.

Newton et al (Figures 18A-B) discloses a heat transport device comprising a first base plate 53, and a second base plate 54 having a liquefaction chamber 42 and vaporization chamber 40 connected by a gas-phase working fluid channel 47 and a liquid-phase working fluid channel 48, and a cavity 50 communicating with the liquefaction chamber 42 for the purpose of providing a working fluid reservoir.

Since Nelson et al and Newton et al are both from the same field of endeavor and/or analogous art, the purpose disclosed by Newton et al would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Nelson et al.

Page 5

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to employ in Nelson et al a cavity communicating with the liquefaction chamber for the purpose of providing a working fluid reservoir as recognized by Newton et al.

Regarding claim 17, Figure 18A of Newton et al discloses a concavity 92 structurally similar to concavity 50 and functions as a reservoir for liquefaction chamber 42.

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

No further comments are deemed necessary at this time.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 3785

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Leonard R. Leo whose telephone number is (571) 272-4916. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Judy Swann can be reached on (571) 272-7075. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/ Leonard R. Leo / PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3785

December 10, 2010